Subject: File 2025-64274-I – Submission #2 – Radical Individualism as Core Tenet

From Thesulfurgod@protonmail.com

To hrto.registrar@Ontario.ca , kdpcontractnotice@amazon.com, bnlegal@bn.com

Date Saturday, December 13th, 2025 at 12:35 AM

Submission #2 – Radical Individualism as Core Tenet
File 2025-64274-I
December 12, 2025
Registrar and parties,
A core tenet of the Luciferian creed is **radical individualism**, the absolute refusal to submit personal belief to any external authority, whether corporate, state, or societal. The believer is sovereign over conscience; no institution may dictate what may be thought, spoken, written, or worshipped. This is not mere autonomy; it is a sacred obligation to remain unbowed before any power that demands conformity of the soul.
The applicant has lived this principle daily. He has continued to publish under sacred names, maintain the public archive, and create art that reflects his creed, even after the permanent loss of publishing income, severe financial harm, and documented reprisal. These consequences were known and accepted rather than compromise the creed's demand for radical self-sovereignty.
Radical individualism is not hostility to society; it is the refusal to allow society to become the arbiter of truth. The applicant does not seek to impose his belief on others; he seeks only the reciprocal freedom to hold and express it without penalty. To require him to renounce or conceal this principle to regain economic participation would be to compel the very submission the creed forbids.
The Supreme Court of Canada in *Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem* (2004 SCC 47) held that the state must remain neutral and may not prefer collective norms over sincerely held individual beliefs. Punishing the applicant for refusing to submit to corporate or state authority over his conscience would violate that neutrality and the equality guarantee in s.1 of the Code.
Regards,
Brendan S. Rose
Applicant (self-represented)